

PARAMOUNT SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE

STEFANIE RITTER & TOMMY REDDICKS

## INTRO & HISTORY

## OPENED IN 2010

2010 – 368 – 53: 14.4%

• 2011 – 462 – 55: 11.9%

• 2012 - **532** - 68: 12.8%

• 2013 – **537** – 96: 17.9%

• 2014 – 612 – 104: 17%

• 2015 – 651 – 115: 17.7%

• 2016 – 700 – 117: 17%

African American: 56%

Hispanic: 10%

White: 32%

Other: 2%

FRL: 90%

- ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS
  - FULL-TIME ELL DIRECTOR
  - DAILY PULL-OUT GROUPS TO WORK ON ENGLISH LANGUAGE SKILLS
    - FOCUS ON: VOCABULARY, READING, WRITING
  - LESSONS ALIGNED TO CURRICULUM
  - ILP'S CREATED INCLUDING ACCOMMODATIONS
  - PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR ALL STAFF
  - CHALLENGES: INCORPORATING VARIOUS CULTURES INTO DAILY LESSONS,
     OULTURAL DIFFERENCES

- MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS (MTSS)-ACADEMICS
  - MTSS DIRECTOR AND MTSS TEAM MEET BI-WEEKLY TO DISCUSS STUDENT PROGRESS AND SET UP INTERVENTIONS
  - SPECIFIED ACADEMIC OR BEHAVIOR CONCERNS
  - ELL AND SPED DIRECTORS ARE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE TEAM
  - TIER 1 CORE (75-85%)
    - UNIVERSAL PRACTICES ACROSS SCHOOL SETTING
  - TIER 2 TARGETED (10-20%)
    - AT-RISK STUDENTS
    - INCREASED PARENT COMMUNICATION
    - SPECIFIC/INDIVIDUALIZED INTERVENTIONS
  - TIER 3 INTENSIVE (3-5%)
    - INTENSIVE, INDIVIDUAL INTERVENTIONS
    - DOCUMENTATION



Tier IITargeted

Tier I- Core

- Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)-Behavior
  - Tiered across the school setting in daily behavior (pictured)
    - \* Tier 1- school wide behavior management
      - \* Aligned across grades K-8
      - \* Explicitly teach behavior expectations
    - Tier 2- discipline team support
      - \* Multiple team members to make behavior calls
      - \* Recovery room with certified staff to continue instruction
      - \* Behavioral Counselor to help support students
    - \* Tier 3- administration
      - Larger discipline decisions (OSS, Recovery, Expulsion)
  - Behavior Tier support aligns to academic (core-> targeted-> intensive)
  - \* Access to Cummins Behavioral Health



School Wide Behavior Management

- UNIVERSAL DESIGN FOR LEARNING (UDL)
  - PROVIDING MATERIAL IN MULTIPLE WAYS
    - WHOLE BRAIN TEACHING, GUIDED NOTES, MINI LESSONS, THINK PAIR SHARE
  - MULTIPLE WAYS TO CHECK FOR STUDENT UNDERSTANDING
    - WHITE BOARDS, PLICKERS (APP), THINK PAIR SHARE, I TEACH YOU TEACH
  - ALLOW ACCOMMODATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS IN THE CLASSROOM
    - MTSS, ELL, SPED
  - ALLOW CHOICE TO SHOW UNDERSTANDING
    - WRITE A PARAGRAPH, DRAW A PICTURE, MAKE A POWERPOINT

- TEACHER TRAINING
  - NEW TEACHER ACADEMY
    - PARTICIPATING IN PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT WITH NEW STAFF
    - DESIGNATED PRESENTATION DAYS
  - MONTHLY ALL STAFF MEETINGS
    - STAFF SIGN OFF FOR THE TOPIC OF PRESENTATION FOR THAT MONTH (BIPS, ACCOMMODATIONS, MODIFICATIONS, ETC.)
  - WEEKLY GRADE LEVEL TEAM MEETINGS
    - MEET WITH ADMINISTRATION USUALLY ONCE A WEEK
    - WILL MEET WITH EACH GRADE LEVEL TEAM FOR 30 MINUTES MONTHLY TO MATTERS RELATING TO SPECIAL EDUCATION

- LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT
  - GENERAL EDUCATION
    - PULL-OUT OR PUSH-IN GROUPS; WHAT SERVICES ARE NEEDED
    - ALIGNED CURRICULUM TO GENERAL EDUCATION SETTING
    - CHALLENGES: ACCOMMODATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS WHILE IN THE GENERAL EDUCATION SETTING
  - RESOURCE ROOM
    - MORE INTENSIVE, FREQUENT INSTRUCTION BASED ON DATA
    - MODIFIED CURRICULUM TO GENERAL EDUCATION SETTING
    - CHALLENGES: STAFFING, SCHEDULING
  - SELF-CONTAINED OR MODIFIED SELF-CONTAINED
    - HIGH BEHAVIORAL NEEDS; DISRUPTIVE TO THE GENERAL EDUCATION SETTING
    - GREATLY BELOW GRADE LEVEL
    - GRADE LEVEL CURRICULUM OR MODIFIED DEPENDENT ON STUDENT ACADEMIC DATA
  - CHALLENGES: STAFFING, SCHEDULING, BEHAVIORAL IMPACT ON OTHERS

- ACCESSIBILITY
  - ACCESS TO ONE-ON-ONE FOR STUDENTS THAT REQUIRE CLASSROOM SUPPORT
  - MODIFICATIONS IN PE
  - ACCESS TO THE PLAYGROUND AND EQUIPMENT (MODIFIED SWING)
  - CHANGING TABLE INSTALLED
  - WORK WITH OUTSIDE VENDORS (SLP, OT, PT, CDHHE) TO PROVIDE NEEDED SERVICES
  - ELEVATORS AND RAMPS FOR MOBILITY
  - ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY DEVICES
    - COMPUTERS, IPADS, ALPHASMART, FM SYSTEMS
- CHALLENGES: FINANCIALS, ACCESSIBILITY TO NEEDS

## PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION

- ACCORDING TO THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (2006),
   APPROXIMATELY 13.5 PERCENT OF ALL STUDENTS IN K-12 SCHOOLS
   RECEIVE SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES.
- PSOE SPECIAL EDUCATION PERCENTAGE: 17%
- CURRENT IPS SPECIAL EDUCATION PERCENTAGE: 18%

#### **PSOE SPECIAL EDUCATION FLOW CHART**

- Review new enrollment paperwork prior to first day
- Document new student names, DOB, STNs, and parent contacts
- Initial enrollment forms
- Request via Indiana IEP and from previous school
- Hold meetings within 10 days of student first day
- Complete paper copy if Indiana IEP is not available
- Develop referral policies for staff
- Work with MTSS/RTI to identify students according to Child Find
- Use Indiana IEP to monitor due dates
- Set timelines for psychologists to test and send reports
- Review as a team, and then with parent to stay in timeline even if parent is unable to attend
- Have a quarterly sign off on understanding of student IEP and BIPs
- Maintain "IEP at a Glances" in all team lead class rooms
- Ensure an open door policy with teachers to discuss concerns/clarify
- Attend all-staff and grade level team meetings monthly to discuss individual students and clarify SPED
- Maintain frequent communication with staff about accommodations
- Identify deadlines to update accommodations based on teacher's observations
- Identify certified staff that motivate students for testing
- Create assessment schedules
- Lessen modifications as testing window approaches
- Develop standard-based small group lessons aligned with general ed.

#### **New Enrollment**



#### **Teacher Training**



- Before school begins provide PD over IEP, BIP and SPED procedures
- Hold further PDs throughout the school year over various SPED topics
- Use teacher sign-in sheets for each PD to show documentation of training

### **Move-In Conferences**



#### **Annual Case Reviews**





#### **Initial Evaluations**



#### Discipline



#### **IEP/BIP Maintenance**



#### Transition



#### **State Assessments**



#### End of Year

- Create ACR timelines
- Utilize multiple documented attempts to contact parents
- Send home notice of meetings
- Use parent sign in sheet documenting receipt of Procedural Safeguards
- Staff training on BIPs
- Frequent communication with discipline staff
- Manifestat 10 days of suspension
- If a change of placement is necessary, then a case conference needs to be
- Adjust BIP prior to 10 days suspended so that there is documentation of different strategies
- Conduct yearly transition surveys
- Include students in the process
- Update student information with details about what they want to do and what they are doing at school
- Work with other SPED members to ensure goals align
- Collect "IEP at a Glances"
- File all paperwork

cicks and Ritter

Hold any ACRs that are due in August to avoid conflicts with move-in conferences



Paramount School of Excellence-Rec

## **MYTHBUSTING**

THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ARE MYTHS PROPAGATED IN BOTH THE CHARTER AND TRADITIONAL PUBLIC SCHOOL COMMUNITIES:

- CHARTER SCHOOLS DO NOT HAVE TO SERVE SPECIAL EDUCATION STUDENTS
   WITH NEEDS THAT EXCEED THE SCHOOL'S STAFFING OR FACILITY CAPACITY
  - CHARTER SCHOOLS DO NOT HAVE TO ACCEPT STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS
  - CHARTER SCHOOLS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO HAVE A SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM.
- CHARTER SCHOOL SPECIAL EDUCATION LAW IS DICTATED BY THE SCHOOL'S CHARTER AND IS UPHELD BY THE SCHOOL'S AUTHORIZER, AND NOT ANY OTHER AGENCY
  - CHARTER SCHOOLS DO NOT HAVE TO REPORT TO THE IDOE FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION REPORTING
  - CHARTER SCHOOLS ARE NOT SUBJECT TO IDOE SPECIAL EDUCATION AUDITS

## LITIGIOUS & LEGITIMATE

PROPERLY SERVING STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS IS CRITICALLY IMPORTANT FOR TWO REASONS:

 #1) THESE STUDENTS (WHO CAN MAKE UP AS MUCH AS 20% OF STUDENT BODY) MAY NOT SUCCEED WITHOUT CAREFUL ATTENTION PAID TO EVALUATIONS, ACCOMMODATIONS, MODIFICATIONS, AND ANNUAL IEP MAINTENANCE.

 #2) AN OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF LEGAL CASES BROUGHT AGAINST SCHOOLS ARE SPECIAL EDUCATION CASES.

## **CASE STUDIES**

- PARENT ADVOCACY FOR STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS IS TYPICALLY STRONGER THAN ADVOCACY FROM STUDENTS WITHOUT SPECIAL NEEDS.
- STATE AND FEDERAL LAW PROTECTS STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS.
- THERE ARE MULTIPLE ADVOCACY GROUPS IN THE STATE OF INDIANA THAT CAN HELP PARENTS OF STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS BETTER UNDERSTAND THEIR RIGHTS, AND ENSURE THEIR CHILD'S IEP IS BEING IMPLEMENTED CORRECTLY.
- WHEN A PARENT AND/OR ADVOCACY GROUP IS NOT SATISFIED WITH THE SCHOOL'S LEVEL OF COMPLIANCE, SERVICE OR TREATMENT, THEY CAN FILE AN OFFICIAL COMPLAINT WITH THE IDOE.
  - THESE COMPLAINTS CAN OFTEN BE RECTIFIED IN 30-60 DAYS. SOME HOWEVER CAN BECOME VERY INVOLVED AND CAN BE A PRECURSOR FOR LEGAL ACTION. COURT CASES INVOLVING SPECIAL EDUCATION CAN COST A SCHOOL BETWEEN \$10,000 AND \$50,000.

## CASE STUDIES: COMPLAINTS

- CASE #1:
- COMPLAINT: THE SCHOOL EXPELLED AN ED STUDENT INAPPROPRIATELY. THE SCHOOL DID NOT PROVIDE FOR SERVICES DURING THE PROCESS OF THE EXPULSION.
  - DETAILS: STUDENT BROUGHT A DRUG PRODUCT INTO THE SCHOOL BUILDING AND WAS SHOWING/SHARING WITH OTHER STUDENTS.
  - PROOF WAS CONTESTED ALONGSIDE CLAIMS THAT THE REASONS FOR EXPULSION WERE INVALID.
  - PARENT PARTNERED WITH AN ADVOCATE AGENCY AND BROUGHT AN ADVOCATE TO THE MANIFESTATION
    HEARING. AT THAT TIME, ALL PARTIES ANSWERED "NO" TO EACH MANIFESTATION QUESTION.
  - FOLLOWING MANIFESTATION, THE SCHOOL MOVED TO EXPEL.
  - A DOE COMPLAINT WAS FILED.
    - RESOLUTION: IT WAS FOUND THAT THE STUDENT EXPULSION WAS HANDLED CORRECTLY, BUT THE SCHOOL WAS
      REQUIRED TO MAKE UP FOR SERVICES NOT OFFERED DURING THE PERIOD OF INVESTIGATION, MANIFESTATION,
      AND EXPULSION. THE SCHOOL WAS NOTIFIED THAT A CHANGE OF PLACEMENT MEETING SHOULD HAVE
      OCCURRED IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE MANIFESTATION HEARING TO ALLOW FOR CONTINUING SERVICE.
    - PARENT ADDED FURTHER NEEDS BY INSISTING THE SCHOOL PROVIDE ESY (EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR) SERVICES
      SINCE THE SCHOOL WAS PROVIDING A SUMMER SCHOOL PROGRAM. THIS REQUEST WAS MET.

**TOTAL CASE TIME: 4 MONTHS** 

## CASE STUDIES: COMPLAINTS

- CASE #2:
- COMPLAINT: THE SCHOOL WAS DELIBERATELY AVOIDING ED CLASSIFICATION BY REFERRING
  TROUBLED STUDENTS STRAIGHT TO MENTAL AND BEHAVIORAL SERVICES WITHOUT REFERRING
  FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION.
  - DETAILS: OUTSIDE 3RD PARTY FILES COMPLAINT WITH IDOE.
  - COMPLAINT PROVIDES FIRST HAND ACCUSATIONS INSINUATING THE SCHOOL WAS NOT FOLLOWING REFERRAL (CHILD FIND) POLICY.
  - THE SCHOOL WAS ASKED TO PROVIDE PROOF OF THE STUDENTS THAT HAD BEEN QUALIFIED
    AS WELL AS THE DISABILITIES OF THOSE STUDENTS. THE SCHOOL HAD TO PROVIDE A LIST
    STUDENTS SUBMITTED TO MENTAL AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH. THE SCHOOL HAD TO SUBMIT ITS
    POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR REFERRALS FOR EVALUATIONS.
    - RESOLUTION: THE SCHOOL WAS NOT FOUND TO HAVE COMMITTED ANY FAULT IN CHILD FIND OR LACK
      OR QUALIFICATION. THE SCHOOL WAS REQUIRED TO CREATE A PARENT FORM THAT ALLOWED AN
      ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF WHETHER SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES WERE NEEDED OR WANTED AT THE
      TIME OF INTAKE INTO MENTAL AND BEHAVIORAL SERVICES.
    - THE SCHOOL BOARD BECAME INVOLVED AND HAD TO PLAY A LARGE ROLE IN MEDIATING THE PROCESS.

**TOTAL TIME: 3 MONTHS** 

## CASE STUDIES: COMPLAINTS

- CASE #3:
- COMPLAINT: THE SCHOOL DID NOT COMPLY WITH THE STUDENT'S BEHAVIOR INTERVENTION PLAN PRIOR TO SUSPENSION.
  - DETAILS: CHILD WITH IEP ASSAULTS A STUDENT IN THE HALLS.
  - PARENT, AFTER DEBRIEFING WITH CHILD, DISAGREES WITH SCHOOL DECISION.
  - PARENT INVOLVES ATTORNEY, PURSUING A NOTION THAT THE CHILD CANNOT BE SUSPENDED SINCE THE ACTION HAD A DIRECT AND SUBSTANTIAL RELATIONSHIP TO THE CHILD'S DISABILITY.
  - FOLLOWING A REFUSAL BY THE SCHOOL TO RESCIND THE SUSPENSION, PARENT FILES IDOE COMPLAINT THAT THE BEHAVIOR INTERVENTION PLAN WAS NOT FOLLOWED.
    - RESOLUTION: THE IDOE FINDS THAT THE BIP WAS NOT FOLLOWED, EVEN THOUGH THE SCHOOL
      ATTEMPTED TO FOLLOW THE BIP, BUT DISENGAGED WHEN THE CHILD REFUSED TO PARTICIPATE.
      THE SCHOOL HAD TO COMPLETE AND DOCUMENT AN ALL-STAFF PD OVER BEHAVIOR
      INTERVENTION PLANS.

TIME: 2 MONTHS

## **COMPLAINTS: A SYSTEMS TEST**

 WHEN A SPECIAL EDUCATION COMPLAINT IS FILED AGAINST YOUR SCHOOL, YOUR CURRENT SYSTEM IS THEN VETTED AGAINST THE STANDARDS AND LEGAL UNDERSTANDINGS OF THE STATE.

THERE IS NO BETTER TEST FOR YOUR SYSTEM THAN A REAL-WORLD ACCUSATION THAT YOU ARE NOT DOING WHAT YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO BE DOING.

 COMPLAINTS ARE A PROCESS, AND WE ENTER INTO EACH ONE HOPING TO PROVE OUR SYSTEM TRUE. WHEN THAT FALLS SHORT, WE MAKE CORRECTIONS QUICKLY AND MOVE FORWARD.

## COMPLIANCE WITH COMPLIANCE

- PAST FINDINGS FROM THE IDOE CAN BE HELPFUL. FROM THESE WE DO THE FOLLOWING:
  - CREATE MORE EFFICIENT PROCEDURES
  - PARTICIPATE IN MULTIPLE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES
  - CONTINUE CONVERSATIONS WITH IDOE ON HOW TO IMPROVE
  - ALLOW AUTHORIZER TO AUDIT SPECIAL EDUCATION EVERY YEAR INSTEAD OF EVERY OTHER (ONE IS INFORMAL)
- COMPLAINTS FROM OUTSIDE THE IDOE
  - CREATE BETTER FORMS OF DOCUMENTATION
  - ASSIST IN THE CREATION OF MORE CONCISE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
  - PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FOR ALL STAFF

# CASE STUDY Q&A

# ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE CASE STUDIES BEFORE WE MOVE FORWARD?

## REALITY CHECK

- IDEA: WHAT IS IT? INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (1973)
- FAPE: WHAT IS IT? FREE APPROPRIATE PUBLIC EDUCATION (**FAPE**) IS AN EDUCATIONAL RIGHT OF CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES IN THE UNITED STATES THAT IS GUARANTEED BY THE REHABILITATION ACT OF 1973 AND THE INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (IDEA).
  - THESE ARE FEDERAL MANDATES FOR COMPLIANCE
  - WE CANNOT IGNORE THESE AS A "CHARTER"
- WHAT ARE THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE AUTHORIZER RELATIONSHIP AND STATE RELATIONSHIP IN SPECIAL EDUCATION?

# DIFFERENCES: AUTHORIZER VS. STATE

- WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN AUTHORIZER CONCERN AND A STATE FINDING?
  - A FINDING IS A LEGAL OPINION IDENTIFYING SPECIFIC STEPS OR AREAS
    THAT NEEDS CORRECTED WITHIN A CLEARLY IDENTIFIED TIMELINE.
    FAILURE TO MAKE THE CORRECTION CAN RESULT IN FURTHER PENALTY
    (FINANCIAL OR LEGAL).
  - A CONCERN FROM AN AUTHORIZER IS A STRONG SUGGESTION FOR FUTURE CHANGE.

## DIFFERENCES: AUTHORIZER VS. STATE

WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN AUTHORIZER REVIEW AND STATE REVIEW.

- AUTHORIZER REVIEW: A LOCAL LOOK BY THE AUTHORIZING BODY. THIS
  IS A GUARDRAIL APPROACH TO ENSURE THE CAR IS STAYING ON THE
  ROAD. VERY FEW MANDATES ARE GIVEN FROM AN AUTHORIZER. THIS
  CAN ALSO BE THE SCHOOL'S ANNUAL REPORT SUBMITTED TO THE
  AUTHORIZER.
- STATE REVIEW: THIS IS OFTEN AN AUDIT. IT CAN BE COMPLAINT DRIVEN, OR FINANCE DRIVEN, AND THE RAMIFICATIONS CAN BE REDUCTION OR LOSS OF FUNDING, PROBATIONARY MEASURES, ETC.

## COMMUNICATION

- COMMUNICATION WITH AUTHORIZER AND THE STATE
- PROACTIVE VS. REACTIVE
  - BECAUSE CHARTERS WILL ALL EXPERIENCE COMPLAINTS, LEGAL BATTLES, AND CONSTANTLY FLUCTUATING SPECIAL EDUCATION POPULATIONS, IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT THEY MAINTAIN OPEN AND TRANSPARENT COMMUNICATION WITH BOTH THE AUTHORIZER AND THE STATE.
  - CHARTERS CAN ASK FOR VISITS TO REVIEW THEIR PROCESSES BY BOTH THE STATE AND THE AUTHORIZER.
  - CHARTERS CAN SEEK OUT PD WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT A CONSTANT REMINDER AND REFRESHER OF SPED REQUIREMENTS AND LAW "SHOULD" BE A PART OF EACH ACADEMIC YEAR.
  - CHARTER SPED DEPARTMENTS SHOULD KNOW THE STAKEHOLDERS ON BOTH LEVELS (STATE AND AUTHORIZER), IN ORDER TO GAIN TRUST AND BUILD A NETWORK OF RESOURCES.



STEFANIE RITTER

SPECIAL EDUCATION DIRECTOR

PARAMOUNT SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE

SRITTER@PARAMOUNTINDY.ORG

**TOMMY REDDICKS** 

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** 

PARAMOUNT SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE

TREDDICKS@PARAMOUNTINDY.ORG